

Improving the Nation's Ability to Detect and Respond to 21st Century Urgent Health Threats: First Report of the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee

Report to the Advisory Committee to the Director, CDC

April 2009

April 30, 2009

Eduardo Sanchez, M.D., M.P.H., F.A.A.F.P.
Chairman
Advisory Committee to the Director, CDC
1600 Clifton Road NE
Atlanta, GA 30030

Dear Dr. Chairman,


On behalf of the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee (NBAS) and in keeping with our mandate to ensure that the federal government is enhancing state and local government public health surveillance capability, I am pleased to submit the report *Improving the Nation's Ability to Detect and Respond to 21st Century Urgent Health Threats*. The report provides recommendations for action that describe how the United States could deploy people and technologies at all levels of government to improve the collection, flow and interpretation of data in a timely way as a means of preventing and mitigating threats to the health of communities.

In this report, NBAS identifies a matter of great importance to U.S. national security, namely, the ability to use *biosurveillance capabilities* to detect and respond effectively to public health emergencies of national significance. Effective biosurveillance is essential to the management of catastrophic health events; it is also essential to routine public health practice and disaster response.

This report is the culmination of quick work in fact-finding, consultation, and deliberation by the Committee. NBAS is grateful to the many individuals who shared their knowledge and perspective with us in the development of this report.

We appreciate the opportunity to address this important area and hope that our deliberations and recommendations will be helpful to you and the incoming leadership in the new administration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Larry Brilliant".

Larry Brilliant, MD, MPH
Chair, National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee

About the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee

The United States has a critical national security interest in preserving the health of its population, livestock, crops, and natural resources. Biosurveillance is the method used to detect, monitor and respond to the array of threats to our national security from natural, accidental, and intentional origins. On October 18, 2007, the White House released Homeland Security Presidential Directive 21 (HSPD-21) which mandates the development of a nationwide, robust, and integrated biosurveillance capability for human health, with connections to international disease surveillance systems, in order to provide early warning and ongoing characterization of disease outbreaks in near real-time. Additionally, HSPD-21 requires the establishment of a federal advisory committee, including representatives from state and local government public health authorities and appropriate private sector health care entities, in order to ensure that the federal government is enhancing state and local government public health surveillance capability.

In order to meet this mandate, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) was designated the lead to develop the National Biosurveillance Strategy for Human Health and establish the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee (NBAS). NBAS is comprised of prominent public and private biosurveillance stakeholders and contributors and was created by the Advisory Committee to the Director (ACD), CDC on May 1, 2008. As a subcommittee to the ACD, the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee provides counsel to the ACD regarding the broad range of issues impacting the development and implementation of a nationwide biosurveillance strategy for human health. The membership of the NBAS ensures diverse perspectives important to the development of the strategy, including those from government, public health, health care delivery, academia and others, are reflected in the strategy's plans.

The NBAS provides leadership and guidance to the National Biosurveillance Strategy for Human Health. The NBAS has begun to advance recommendations to improve the nation's biosurveillance capability by developing innovative and practical solutions to challenges in the following areas:

- Attracting, developing and retaining a cross-trained and multi-talented workforce;
- Collaborating with global partners to strengthen local capabilities to rapidly identify and contain emerging health threats;
- Enhancing diagnostics and laboratory electronic information exchange;
- Improving exchange of information between public health and clinical medicine activities to improve accuracy and timeliness of diagnosis and reporting of health events;
- Examining the role of biosurveillance in addressing zoonotic and vector-borne diseases and food security;
- Integrating clinical and health information with environmental monitoring of air, toxin, microbiological disease threats, water quality, and infrastructure and geological disasters;
- Applying new technological advances in bioinformatics, data mining, aberration detection, digital scanning of open source information, analysis, and visualization methods while being mindful of important privacy concerns;
- Identifying solutions to cross-sector and intergovernmental collaborations for improving biosurveillance capability.

Subcommittee Roster

Chair

Larry Brilliant, MD, MPH
Chief Philanthropic Evangelist
Google
San Francisco, CA

Designated Federal Official

Daniel M. Sosin, MD, MPH, FACP
Acting Director
Coordinating Office for Terrorism Preparedness
and Emergency Response
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Atlanta, GA

Members

Tomas Aragon, MD, DrPH
Principal Investigator and Executive Director
Center for Infectious Disease & Emergency
Readiness
UC Berkeley School of Public Health
Berkeley, CA

Ron Brookmeyer, PhD
Professor of Biostatistics
The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public
Health
Baltimore, MD

Heather Case, DVM, MPH, Dipl. ACVPM
Coordinator of Emergency Preparedness and
Response
Assistant Director, Scientific Activities Division
American Veterinary Medical Association
Schaumburg, IL

Dennis Coulombier, MD
Head of Unit for Preparedness and Response
European Centre for Disease Prevention and
Control
Stockholm, Sweden

Jeffery Engel, MD
Chief, Epidemiology Section
Division of Public Health
North Carolina Department of Health and Human
Services
Raleigh, NC

Alvin Bronstein, AB, MD
Medical Director
Rocky Mountain Poison Center
Denver, CO

Ruth Carrico, PhD, RN, CIC
Assistant Professor
Health Promotion and Behavioral Sciences
Center for Health Hazards Preparedness
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY

Sanford Climan, MBA, MS
President
Entertainment Media Ventures
Burbank, CA

Suzanne Frances Delbanco, PhD, MPH
President, Health Care Division
Arrowsight, Inc.
Berkeley, CA

James Hadler, MD, MPH
Former State Epidemiologist
Former Director
Infectious Diseases
Connecticut State Department of Public Health
New Haven, CT

Margaret A. Hamburg, M.D.
Vice President of Biological Programs
Nuclear Threat Initiative
Washington DC

James Allen Heywood
Chairman, Co-Founder
PatientsLikeMe
Cambridge, MA

Gregory Istre, MD
Research Director/Epidemiologist
Injury Prevention Center of Greater Dallas
Dallas, TX

Scott Layne, MD
Professor of Epidemiology
University of California Los Angeles School of
Public Health
Los Angeles, CA

Jonathan Lord, MD
Senior Vice President and Chief Innovation Officer
Humana Inc.
Louisville, KY

Kenneth D Mandl, MD, MPH
Associate Professor, Harvard Medical School
Director, Intelligent Health Laboratory
Children's Hospital Informatics Program
Children's Hospital Boston
Boston, MA

Farzad Mostashari, MD, MSc
Assistant Commissioner
Primary Care Information Project
Health Care Access & Improvement
New York City Department of Health & Mental
Hygiene
New York, NY

David Heymann, MD
Assistant Director-General
Health Security and Environment
Representative of the Director-General for Polio
Eradication
World Health Organization
Geneva, Switzerland

Steven Hinrichs, MD
Director
Center for Biosecurity
University of Nebraska Medical Center
Omaha, NE

Paul Jarris, MD, MBA
Executive Director
Association of State and Territorial Health
Officials
Arlington, VA

W. Ian Lipkin, MD
Director and John Snow Professor of
Epidemiology
Center for Infection and Immunity
Mailman School of Public Health
Columbia University
New York, NY

Cecil Lynch, MD, MS
Assistant Professor
Department of Pathology
University of California at Davis
Granite Bay, CA

Linda McCauley, PhD, RN, FAAN
Nightingale Professor of Nursing
Associate Dean for Nursing Research
University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing
Philadelphia, PA

Tara O'Toole, MD, MPH
CEO and Director
Center for Biosecurity
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center
Baltimore, MD

Erica Pan, MD, MPH, FAAP
Director, Bioterrorism and Infectious Disease
Emergencies Unit
Communicable Disease Control and Prevention
Section
San Francisco Department of Public Health
San Francisco, CA

Arthur Reingold, MD
Professor and Division Head
Division of Epidemiology
University of California
Berkeley School of Public Health
Berkeley, CA

John Russell, JD
Partner
K & L Gates
Morrisville, NC

Michael Williams, PhD
Director
Communicable Disease Control Services
St. Louis County Department of Health
Clayton, MO

Gregory Poland, MD, MACP, FIDSA
Director, Mayo Vaccine Research Group and
Director
Translational Immunovirology and Biodefense
Mayo Clinic and Foundation
Rochester, MN

Chris Ross, MBA
Chief Information Officer
MinuteClinic
Minneapolis, MN

William Stephens, MS
Manager
Southwest Center for Advanced Public Health
Practice
Tarrant County Public Health
Fort Worth, TX

Rajeev Venkayya, MD
Director of Global Health Delivery
The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
Seattle, WA

Acknowledgements

The committee was aided in its deliberations by the testimony and advice of many knowledgeable and experienced individuals, and the efforts of a dedicated subcommittee and staff. Consultants, Federal Liaisons, and CDC Senior Scientists to the subcommittee and task forces contributed ideas and report materials.

The subcommittee thanks the **NBAS Consultants to the Task Forces:**

Animals, Food and Vectors

Tracey McNamara, American Veterinary Medical Association

Biosurveillance Workforce of the Future

Rajat Gupta, McKinsey & Company; **Kenneth Warner**, University of Michigan School of Public Health

Cross-Sector Collaborations for Biosurveillance Strategies

Jeff Levi, Trust for America's Health; **Eric Rasmussen**, InSTEDD

Environmental Monitoring

Paul Epstein, Harvard Medical School; **Madeleine Thomson**, International Research Institute for Climate and Society

Genomic Epidemiology and Digital Technologies

Mike Ascher, Northern California Center for AIDS Research

Global Disease Detection and Collaboration

Jarbas Barbarosa-DaSilva, Pan American Health Organization; **David Paul Fidler**, Indiana University; **Louise Gresham**, Institute of Health Law Studies; **Adi Gundlapalli**, The University of Utah School of Medicine; **James Hughes**, Emory University; **Patrick Kelley**, Institute of Medicine; **Melinda Moore**, RAND Corporation; **Stephen Morse**, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health; **Eric Rasmussen**, InSTEDD; **Ben Reis**, Harvard Medical School; **Michael Ryan**, World Health Organization; **James Wilson**, Veratect Corporation

Integrating Clinical and Public Health Reporting

Art Davidson, Denver Center for Public Health Preparedness

The Subcommittee thanks the **Federal Liaisons in the following NBAS Task Forces:**

Animals, Food and Vectors

Larry Granger, United States Department of Agriculture; **Lonnie King**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Mike Latham**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Aubrey Miller**, Food and Drug Administration; **Chad Nelson**, Food and Drug Administration

Biosurveillance Workforce of the Future

Denise Koo, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Steve Thacker**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Cross-Sector Collaborations for Biosurveillance Strategies

John Hoffman, Department of Homeland Security

Diagnostics and Laboratory Information Exchange

Jim Callaghan, Food and Drug Administration; **Genny Gallagher**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Mark Holodniy**, Department of Veterans Affairs; **Cynthia Lucero**, Department of Veterans Affairs

Environmental Monitoring

Lorraine Backer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Erica Canzler**, Environmental Protection Agency; **Lauren Lewis**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Joe Posid**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Craig Postlewaite**, Department of Defense; **Jim Tucker**, National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Genomic Epidemiology and Digital Technologies

Virginia Lee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Jean O'Connor**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Global Disease Detection and Collaboration

Jonathan Ban, Department of Health and Human Services; **Michael Callahan**, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency; **Jean Paul Chretien**, Department of Defense; **Scott Dowell**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Eric Myers**, Department of Homeland Security; **Jean Otto**, Department of Defense; **Teresa Quitugua**, Department of Homeland Security; **Kevin Russell**, Department of Defense; **Mike St. Louis**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Andrew Weber**, Office of the Secretary of Defense

Integrating Clinical and Public Health Reporting

Amy Kircher, Department of Defense; **Leslie Lenert**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

The subcommittee also thanks the **Senior Scientists supporting the NBAS Task Forces:**

Catherine Chow, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Laura Conn**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Mark DeZalia**, The St. John Group; **Barbara Ellis**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Scott McNabb**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Ninad Mishra**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Dale Nordenberg**, Lockheed Martin; **Helen Schurz-Rogers**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

The subcommittee acknowledges with appreciation the testimony and other assistance of many individuals committed to improving biosurveillance in the US. These individuals are **Bob Anderson**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Fred Angulo**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Kris Beardsley**, Federal Bureau of Investigation; **Chris Braden**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **John Brownstein**, HealthMap; **Jim Callaghan**, Food and Drug Administration; **Col. Kenneth Cox**, Department of Defense; **Scott Dowell**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Pat Drury**, World Health Organization; **Mica Endsley**, SA Technologies; **Larry Granger**, United States Department of Agriculture; **Mark Holodniy**, Department of Veterans Affairs; **Daniel Jernigan**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Malcolm Johns**, Department of Homeland Security; **Richard Kellogg**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Rima Khabbaz**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Ali Khan**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Amy Kircher**, Department of Defense; **Donna Knutsen**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Denise Koo**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Karen Lee**, Food and Drug Administration; **Elliott Lefkowitz**, Viral Bioinformatics Resource Center; **Leslie Lenert**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Cynthia Lucero**, Department of Veterans Affairs; **Joy Miller**, National Center for Medical Intelligence; **Eric Myers**, Department of Homeland Security; **Robert Pinner**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Daniel Pollock**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Araceli Ray**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Jim Reid**, Defense Threat Reduction Agency; **Henry Rolka**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Cathy Roth**, World Health

Organization; **Jennifer Ward**, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; **Donald Wyma**, National Counterproliferation Center.

The NBAS would like to thank **Dr. Julie Gerberding**, Former CDC Director, and **Dr. Richard Besser**, Acting CDC Director, for their recognition of the importance of biosurveillance and their ongoing support of the NBAS. In addition, special recognition goes to **Dr. Bradley A. Perkins**, Designated Federal Official to the Advisory Committee to the Director CDC, for his assistance and support of the NBAS.

The subcommittee wishes to thank **Mark Byers**, Lockheed Martin; **Chris Clark**, MPRI; **Chris Cox**, Lockheed Martin; **Mark Hall**, MPRI; **Janet Kennedy**, Lockheed Martin; **Randy Mitchell**, MPRI; **Robert Propst**, MPRI; **Richard White**, MPRI, for their hard work in supporting the meetings of the NBAS and repeated attention to the ongoing needs and support of the subcommittee. A special thank you goes to **Don Derosby**, Global Business Network, for his facilitation skills and support of the NBAS Steering Committee meeting.

Finally, the NBAS wishes to thank **Google** for hosting the initial meeting of the NBAS in their offices in San Francisco, CA.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction.....	3
Importance and Current Status of U.S. Biosurveillance Efforts	4
Recommendations.....	6
Conclusion and Future Year Plans.....	10
Appendix: NBAS Steering Committee and Task Force Members	A-1

Executive Summary

The ability to use biosurveillance capabilities to detect and respond effectively to public health emergencies of national significance (e.g., smallpox, anthrax, foodborne disease) is a matter of great importance to U.S. national security. Effective biosurveillance is essential to the management of catastrophic health events; it is also essential to routine public health practice and disaster response.

Disease-related events that reach the level of national consequence and/or global significance are rare, episodic, and unpredictable. Because of these characteristics, it is difficult to maintain long-term public interest, funding and prioritization. In addition, prevention activities are often invisible to the public and go unnoticed. Finally, the responsibilities and authorities within the government to prevent and respond to these events of national consequence and/or global significance are dispersed, put into silos and not integrated. Because of this diversity and the episodic but horrific nature of these events, we must use a non-traditional approach to address the current gap in our nation's biosurveillance capability. The approach can not rely on public will or a single governmental agency for success, but must be built around on-going interagency collaboration and coordination.

Many federal agencies and offices have responsibilities and programs that pertain to the nation's overall biosurveillance mission. Moreover, much of the foundation of U.S. biosurveillance capacity depends on programs operated by state and local public health agencies. Efforts to coordinate and make sense of this broad array of biosurveillance efforts have encountered stern challenges

This report provides recommendations for action that describe how the United States could deploy people and technologies at all levels of government to improve the collection, flow and interpretation of data in a timely way as a means of preventing and mitigating threats to the health of communities.

These recommendations are offered by an esteemed group of experts from multiple disciplines comprising the National Biosurveillance Subcommittee (NBAS) which serves as a subcommittee of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Director's Advisory Committee. The creation and mission of NBAS was mandated by Homeland Security Presidential Directive 21 (HSPD-21), issued in October 2007. This Presidential Directive addressed critical components of public health and medical preparedness and response to catastrophic health events, for example, a terrorist attack with a nuclear or biological weapon, an influenza pandemic, or a large-scale geological event. Any one of these scenarios could result in tens or even hundreds of thousands of casualties, and cause great societal and economic disruption and damage to U.S. national security.

The term biosurveillance is here intended to mean the organizational systems, people and technologies needed to ensure the nation's ability to detect a biological event or other hazards to health that are of national significance promptly; to sustain near-real time situational awareness of the evolution or consequences of such threats, and to provide decision-makers and the public

with accurate and timely information about how adverse impacts might be prevented, managed or mitigated. Early detection of potential threats is essential to forestalling larger scale impacts and may even allow interventions to eliminate some hazards before they become crises. Situational awareness during a crisis is critical to informed management decisions. Moreover, many biosurveillance systems contribute vital information about the baseline health of populations and the natural history of certain diseases.

The recommendations summarize the major actions that the NBAS has determined are necessary to build an adequate biosurveillance capacity for the nation.

- The Executive Branch must define the strategic goals and priorities of federal investments in biosurveillance activities and technologies, and implement a plan to achieve, fund and periodically assess progress toward these goals. To accomplish this, the White House should establish an Interagency Biosurveillance Coordination Committee (“the Committee”).
- The U.S. National Biosurveillance Enterprise must include global health threats in its purview and scope.
- The federal government must make a sustained commitment toward ensuring adequate funding to hire and retain highly competent personnel to run biosurveillance programs at all levels of government.
- Government investments in electronic health records and electronic laboratory data should be leveraged to improve how they serve biosurveillance and public health missions.
- The federal government must make strategic investments in new technologies (e.g., genomics, supply chain management, visualizations, display dashboards) to strengthen U.S. biosurveillance capabilities.

Biosurveillance is inevitably a mission that must be shared across multiple agencies. This characteristic has, in our view, led to chronic under-emphasis and under funding of biosurveillance programs. Lack of interagency investments, coordination and leadership has caused frequent delays in the execution of surveillance efforts. The complexity of federal-state collaboration and the challenges of sustaining funding for essential public health programs at the state and local level have further impeded efforts to improve the nation’s biosurveillance capabilities.

Introduction

The United States has a critical national security interest in preserving the health of its population, livestock, crops, and natural resources. Biosurveillance is the method used to confront the array of threats to our national security from natural, accidental, and intentional origins. On October 18, 2007, the White House released Homeland Security Presidential Directive 21 (HSPD-21) which mandates the development of a nationwide, robust, and integrated biosurveillance capability for human health with connections to international disease surveillance systems to provide early warning and ongoing characterization of disease outbreaks in near real-time. Additionally, HSPD-21 requires the establishment of a federal advisory committee, including representatives from state and local government public health authorities and appropriate private sector health care entities to ensure that the federal government is enhancing state and local government public health surveillance capability.

To meet this mandate, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) was designated the lead to develop the National Biosurveillance Strategy for Human Health and establish the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee (NBAS). NBAS is comprised of prominent public and private biosurveillance stakeholders and contributors and was created by the Advisory Committee to the Director (ACD), CDC on May 1, 2008. As a subcommittee to the ACD, the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee will provide counsel to the ACD regarding the broad range of issues impacting the development and implementation of a nationwide biosurveillance strategy for human health. The membership of the NBAS ensures diverse perspectives important to the development of the strategy, including those from government, public health, health care delivery, academia and others, are reflected in the strategy's plans.

The NBAS was formed and organized into Task Forces (see Appendix A) to research and make recommendations related to biosurveillance in the following initial priority areas:

- Animal, Food and Vectors
- Biosurveillance Workforce of the Future
- Cross-Sector Collaboration for Biosurveillance Strategies
- Diagnostics and Laboratory Exchange Information
- Environmental Monitoring
- Genomic Epidemiology and Digital Technologies
- Global Disease Detection and Collaboration
- Integrating Clinical and Public Health Reporting

Recommend actions from each of these Task Forces were put forward in December 2008, compiled and considered by the NBAS Steering Committee on January 8-9, 2009. The NBAS Steering Committee analysis of the recommendations from the eight priority areas identified a number of issues in common and much synergy. The Steering Committee then integrated these into five high-level and cross cutting areas. On Tuesday March 6, CDC's Advisory Committee to the Director (ACD) was asked to endorse an Interim Report and move to share the report with

members of the current Presidential Administration. By a unanimous vote, the ACD voted to endorse the Interim Report. This report serves as the final 2008 report of the NBAS.

Importance and Current Status of U.S. Biosurveillance Efforts

The federal government must build a National Biosurveillance Enterprise to deal with a wide range of potentially destabilizing, 21st century national security threats such as biological and nuclear terrorism, pandemic influenza, newly emerging infectious diseases, contamination of the food supply, and large-scale natural disasters. Without the capacity to recognize early signals of disease outbreaks or other population health hazards, we cannot hope to intervene successfully during public health emergencies and prevent additional deaths or social and economic disruption. Without accurate and timely information about the situation on the ground, decision-makers cannot make informed choices about how to mitigate or contain an emergency and forestall catastrophe.

Disease-related events that reach the level of national consequence and/or global significance are rare, episodic, and unpredictable. Because of these characteristics, it is difficult to maintain long-term public interest, funding and prioritization. In addition, prevention activities are often invisible to the public and go unnoticed. Finally, the responsibilities and authorities within the government to prevent and respond to these events of national consequence and/or global significance are dispersed, put into silos and not integrated. Because of this diversity and the episodic but horrific nature of these events, we must use a non-traditional approach to address the current gap in our nation's biosurveillance capability. The approach can not rely on public will or a single governmental agency for success, but must be built around on-going interagency collaboration and coordination.

Enhancing the nation's biosurveillance capabilities can also yield important peace-time benefits. A well-designed National Biosurveillance Enterprise could improve routine public health practice, lead to more prevention-focused and cost-effective health care, and mitigate economic losses associated with breaches in food safety that result in domestic recalls and barriers to export. Moreover, to meet its obligations under the revised International Health Regulations of 2005, the U.S. will have to participate in efforts to strengthen disease surveillance capacities at home and abroad.

Today, the U.S. does not have an integrated, national approach to biosurveillance that is capable of responding to catastrophic health threats or to more familiar problems such as the contamination of food supplies. There is no overarching strategy that establishes the objectives of a National Biosurveillance Enterprise or that lays out the implementation plan for such a system. Currently, multiple authorities in many federal agencies and all 50 states engage in biosurveillance activities. There are more than 300 separate biosurveillance efforts underway in various federal, state and local government agencies. These efforts are, for the most part, neither integrated nor interoperable, and propose to serve an array of purposes. The effectiveness of many of these systems remains untested and, in some cases, undefined. Some systems appear to have overlapping missions, while other important surveillance needs have not received sufficient attention. The National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee (NBAS) has been unable to establish reliable estimates of the annual cost of U.S. biosurveillance programs—there is no

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) cross-cut that would assemble total federal spending—but it is at least several billions of dollars. Current appropriations do not appear to be sufficient for the tasks at hand, although additional cost-efficiencies are surely possible.

Additional problems with current U.S. biosurveillance efforts include constraints imposed by federal budget and contract management policies that make it difficult to build systems agile enough to adjust to changing threats and contexts, and that impede opportunities to take advantage of—and to catalyze—technological innovation. The existing government workforce dedicated to biosurveillance is inadequate and ageing, but there are currently no plans to develop a workforce of the future that has the skills and training needed to support effective biosurveillance programs.

Finally, the National Biosurveillance Enterprise must be founded on the basic, routinely-used surveillance systems and practices of local and state public health agencies. The U.S. Constitution established that States have authority over and responsibility for “public health,” a state of affairs that has taken on important national security implications in an age of catastrophic terrorism, asymmetric warfare and global interconnectedness. The quality, comprehensiveness, and sustainability of state and local public health surveillance programs that serve as the foundation of our national biosurveillance capacity, vary widely according to the skill and funding levels of state and local health agencies. Since 2002, states received approximately \$1B per year in federal funds for bioterrorism and pandemic flu preparedness; a significant, but undefined proportion of these monies were spent on biosurveillance projects. Federal funding for biosurveillance has enabled states both to improve routine surveillance activities as well as be better positioned to respond to emergency conditions.

While initial investments in biosurveillance were an important first step, the level of federal and state funding for biosurveillance appropriated to date is not commensurate with the strategic importance of these systems. Moreover, initial gains in state biosurveillance capacities are now threatened by both a steady erosion of federal funding for public health emergency preparedness and significant state budget deficits due to the economic downturn. In effect, we are asking states to fund systems that are essential to U.S. national security, without establishing a coherent planning or funding strategy to sustain the keystones of the National Biosurveillance Enterprise.

The recommendations that follow are the result of an intense study of U.S. biosurveillance programs by the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee, whose collective membership represents extensive professional experience and knowledge of biosurveillance programs, applications and technologies. The Subcommittee’s assessment of current biosurveillance efforts revealed opportunities for improvement in five major categories: interagency coordination and strategy; workforce issues; opportunities to enhance biosurveillance through links to clinical electronic health records and electronic laboratory records; and new emphases on global health surveillance.

Recommendations

How We Can Better Recognize Public Health Hazards, Manage Crises, and Respond to Disasters

The Subcommittee recommends engaging the leadership of President Obama's Administration to embrace and establish a well-functioning and cost-efficient national biosurveillance capacity. The following high-level, cross-cutting recommendations should be considered by the newly appointed Cabinet officials. As part of the work of the NBAS in 2009, additional, more detailed recommendations will be generated and published for review by the appropriate agencies and parties.

1. **The Executive Branch must define the strategic goals and priorities of federal investments in biosurveillance activities and technologies, implement a plan to achieve, fund and periodically assess progress toward these goals. To accomplish this, the White House should establish an Interagency Biosurveillance Coordination Committee (“the Committee”).**
 - The Committee should be established by the White House and chaired by a representative from the Executive Office of the President (EOP), perhaps from the National Security Council or the Office of Science and Technology, and should include representatives from all federal agencies with a substantive stake in biosurveillance issues. Among federal agencies and departments, the ones that should be represented, but are not limited to the following: Health and Human Services/Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (HHS/ASPR), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Food and Drug Agency (FDA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Department of Defense (DOD), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), Office of the Director of National Intelligence (DNI).
 - The Committee should define the strategic goals and priorities of the National Biosurveillance Enterprise, particularly in the context of detecting and responding to catastrophic health events, and, in collaboration with federal, state and local health officials, clearly delineate the specific biosurveillance responsibilities of particular federal and state agencies or parties.
 - The Committee should carefully consider the critical roles that state and local health agencies serve in contributing to the National Biosurveillance Enterprise and assess whether the current federal and state allocation of public health resources is adequate to sustain a viable Enterprise view of the national security threats the country confronts and how a more sustainable and coherent approach might be structured and funded.
 - The Committee should ensure that federally-funded biosurveillance programs are subject to objective performance assessments. The effectiveness of different biosurveillance

approaches should be examined in light of actual experiences, exercises and simulations. This information should be shared widely in government and the private sector.

- To assess the costs, approaches, and effectiveness of biosurveillance systems, the biosurveillance program itself must be well defined with clear criteria to evaluate activities core to achieving the program strategy, goals and objectives. To that end, the Committee should recommend that Congress assign a budget activity line for all federally-appropriated biosurveillance activities. Performance measurement and evaluation of biosurveillance appropriations could then be tracked and reported to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Committee should recommend that OMB conduct a cross-agency budget analysis and review of biosurveillance programs to ensure that critical programs are adequately funded, to eliminate redundant activities and to ensure that top priorities are being met.
- The Committee should consider initiating and/or leading an interagency review of food safety biosurveillance that meaningfully engages the appropriate agencies and private sector actors. Food safety is exceedingly complex scientifically, organizationally and politically and involves issues of human, animal and plant health. The Subcommittee recognizes that food safety requires urgent review and improvement.

2. The U.S. National Biosurveillance Enterprise must include global health threats in its purview and scope

- In today's "flat" and richly interconnected world, the United States has compelling security, economic, development and humanitarian interests in global health security. Improving international biosurveillance capabilities should be a priority for U.S. national and homeland security and for U.S. foreign policy. Moreover, the revised International Health Regulations obligate the United States to participate in global disease surveillance activities.
- The EOP representative to the Interagency Biosurveillance Coordination Committee should lead coordination of U.S. government policy on global biosurveillance, along with a lead federal agency designated by the President. The designated lead agency would coordinate global biosurveillance policy and programs, and should improve communication across U.S. federal agencies and with key donor organizations.
- The EOP representative to the Interagency Biosurveillance Coordination Committee along with the lead agency on global health should craft, coordinate and implement multilateral initiatives that strengthen core capacities in global biosurveillance and respond to public health emergencies in order to support the effective and sustainable implementation of the International Health Regulations of 2005.

3. The federal government must make a sustained commitment toward ensuring adequate funding to hire and retain highly competent personnel to run biosurveillance programs at all levels of government.

- Federal public health preparedness funding allocated to state and local health departments and schools of public health beginning in 2002 has greatly enhanced biosurveillance capacity for both emergencies and for important non-emergency public health conditions. As a result of this funding, a trained corps of epidemiologists and laboratory personnel has been created that is our current biosurveillance capacity. It is critical to maintain rather than allow further erosion of the public health preparedness funding that supports this added capacity since 2002 until the objectives and funding needs of a more integrated National Biosurveillance Enterprise have been defined.
- National leadership should undertake a sustained effort to recruit, hire and retain highly competent and properly trained personnel to plan, evaluate, design and execute biosurveillance programs at all levels of government. Consideration should be given to establishing tuition-for-service programs and to attracting technical experts to government with Intergovernmental Personnel Assignments (IPAs) and other mechanisms.
- To improve interagency cooperation and data sharing, and to enrich civil servants' understanding of the resources available across the government, agencies that are a part of the National Biosurveillance Information System (NBIS) should establish career tracks that ensure that appropriately skilled and senior civil servants perform interagency service and participation in NBIS. Individuals who rotate through the NBIS should see the assignment as a growth opportunity rather than as a diversion from their career path.

4. Government investments in electronic health records and electronic laboratory data should be leveraged to improve how they serve biosurveillance and public health missions.

- The President has initiated an intense effort to establish electronic health records (EHRs) nationwide as a key component of health reform and of economic recovery investments. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (H.R. 1) of 2009 has allocated \$2 billion for development of a nationwide health information technology infrastructure that improves health care quality and efficiency, but also "improves public health activities and facilitates the early identification and rapid response to public health threats and emergencies, including bioterror events and infectious disease outbreaks." Priorities for State grants under this section should include the establishment of electronic laboratory reporting to public health agencies and nationwide electronic death surveillance. Establishing these surveillance capacities would greatly improve situational awareness during large-scale public health emergencies and routine public health practice.
- The Act also provides for approximately \$30 billion dollars in Medicare and Medicaid incentives to providers who demonstrate "meaningful use" of qualified EHR systems. Clinical care data provide the highest quality, most specific inputs for biosurveillance of populations, but most commercial EHRs are not oriented toward data sharing between public health agencies and clinical care providers. The criteria for qualifying EHRs and meaningful use must include functionality and use that improves prevention by enabling bidirectional communication between clinicians and public health officials.

- Widespread use of increasingly electronic clinical data for public purposes (whether in research, quality measurement, or biosurveillance) will require a policy foundation and sound network architecture for information sharing that can earn and keep the public's trust. This framework would also help to define and facilitate data sharing among federal, state, and local officials. The federal government must lead an open and transparent process to develop these policies, or endorse an existing set of principles such as the Connecting for Health Common Framework.

5. The federal government must make strategic investments in new technologies to strengthen U.S. biosurveillance capabilities.

- The National Biosurveillance Enterprise should support and encourage innovative ideas, technologies and applications. Next generation biosurveillance technologies, including genomics-based and digital innovations could transform the way we recognize, assess, communicate and respond to risks to individual and population health.
- Innovation in biosurveillance technologies and approaches would be furthered by continuous benchmarking of performance against specific objectives such as earliest possible detection of pathogen or disease events; rapid agent identification with potential to obtain forensic data; prediction and projections of temporal-spatial progression of disease outbreaks and bioterror attacks; producing actionable information; advancing situational awareness after an event, etc.
- Many issues related to data sharing, intellectual property and federal contracting and regulations have high impact on the likelihood, cost and ease of designing innovative technology platforms and approaches to biosurveillance. The Biosurveillance Coordinating Committee should be cognizant of potential barriers to innovation and suggest efforts to minimize or remove them.
- The federal government should make strategic investments in efforts to develop rapid, point-of-care clinical diagnostic tests that can be used quickly to identify ill persons and to help isolate contagious persons from those who are well. Clinical diagnostic tests could have important strategic value in managing an epidemic, particularly if there were shortages of vital medicines or supplies.

Conclusion and Future Year Plans

These recommendations are supported by information obtained through research and testimony to the Task Forces of the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee and discussion and deliberation with the National Biosurveillance Advisory Subcommittee.

Given the opportunity in time with the transition of leadership in most Federal agencies, the NBAS submits that this report provides initial high-level critical actions to be considered by the new Secretaries of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), the Department of State (DoS), the Department of Defense (DoD), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP). The NBAS has anticipated a four year tenure, therefore, the NBAS is continuing to develop additional specificity to these recommendations as well as address additional domain areas that are important to the overall biosurveillance enterprise. The extensive reviews carried out in each of the Task Force domains resulted in recommended actions and these will provide the basis of the 2009 work and the first full year report in March 2010.

Appendix: NBAS Steering Committee and Task Force Members

NBAS Steering Committee

Larry Brilliant, MD, MPH (Chair)
Chief Philanthropic Evangelist
Google
San Francisco, CA

Margaret A. Hamburg, M.D.
Vice President of Biological Programs
Nuclear Threat Initiative
Washington DC

Steven Hinrichs, MD
Director
Center for Biosecurity
University of Nebraska Medical Center
Omaha, NE

Linda McCauley, PhD, RN, FAAN
Nightingale Professor of Nursing and Associate
Dean for Nursing Research
University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing
Philadelphia, PA

Tara O'Toole, MD, MPH
CEO and Director
Center for Biosecurity
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center
Baltimore, MD

James Hadler, MD, MPH
Former State Epidemiologist
Former Director
Infectious Diseases
Connecticut State Department of Public Health
New Haven, CT

David Heymann, MD
Assistant Director-General
Health Security and Environment,
Representative of the Director-General for
Polio Eradication
World Health Organization
Geneva
Switzerland

W. Ian Lipkin, MD
Director and John Snow Professor of
Epidemiology
Center for Infection and Immunity
Mailman School of Public Health
Columbia University
New York, NY

Farzad Mostashari, MD, MSc
Assistant Commissioner
Primary Care Information Project
Health Care Access & Improvement
New York City Department of Health &
Mental Hygiene
New York, NY

Arthur Reingold, MD
Professor and Division Head
Division of Epidemiology
University of California, Berkeley School of
Public Health
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA

NBAS Task Force on Animal, Food, and Vectors

Arthur Reingold, MD (Champion)
Professor and Division Head
Division of Epidemiology
University of California, Berkeley School of
Public Health
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA

Heather Case, DVM, MPH, Dipl. ACVPM
Coordinator of Emergency Preparedness and
Response; Assistant Director, Scientific
Activities Division
American Veterinary Medical Association
Schaumburg, IL

Jeffery Engel, MD
Chief, Epidemiology Section
Division of Public Health
North Carolina Department of Health and Human
Services
Raleigh, NC

Michael Williams, PhD
Director
Communicable Disease Control Services
St. Louis County Department of Health
Clayton, MO

NBAS Task Force on Biosurveillance Workforce of the Future

James Hadler, MD, MPH (Champion)
Former State Epidemiologist
Former Director
Infectious Diseases
Connecticut State Department of Public Health
New Haven, CT

Tomas Aragon, MD, DrPH
Principal Investigator and Executive Director
Center for Infectious Disease & Emergency
Readiness
UC Berkeley School of Public Health
Berkeley, CA

Sanford Climan, MBA, MS
President
Entertainment Media Ventures
Burbank, CA

Suzanne Frances Delbanco, PhD, MPH
President, Health Care Division
Arrowsight, Inc.
Berkeley, CA

NBAS Task Force on Cross Sector Collaborations for Biosurveillance Strategies

Tara O'Toole (Champion)
CEO and Director
Center for Biosecurity
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center
Baltimore, MD

Paul Jarris, MD, MBA
Executive Director
Association of State and Territorial Health Officials
Arlington, VA

Gregory Poland, MD, MACP, FIDSA
Director, Mayo Vaccine Research Group and
Director, Translational Immunovirology and
Biodefense
Mayo Clinic and Foundation
Rochester, MN

NBAS Task Force on Diagnostics and Laboratory Information Exchange

Steven Hinrichs, MD (Champion)
Director
Center for Biosecurity
University of Nebraska Medical Center
Omaha, NE

Ruth Carrico, PhD, RN, CIC
Assistant Professor
Health Promotion and Behavioral Sciences
Center for Health Hazards Preparedness
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY

Scott Layne, MD
Professor of Epidemiology
University of California Los Angeles School of
Public Health
Los Angeles, CA

William Stephens, MS
Manager
Southwest Center for Advanced Public Health
Practice
Tarrant County Public Health
Fort Worth, TX

NBAS Task Force on Environmental Monitoring

Linda McCauley, PhD, RN, FAAN (Champion)
Nightingale Professor of Nursing and Associate
Dean for Nursing Research
University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing
Philadelphia, PA

Alvin Bronstein, AB, MD
Medical Director
Rocky Mountain Poison Center
Denver, CO

Erica Pan, MD, MPH, FAAP
Director
Bioterrorism and Infectious Disease Emergencies
Unit
Communicable Disease Control and Prevention
Section
San Francisco Department of Public Health
San Francisco, CA

Lorraine Backer, MPH, PHD
Epidemiologist
National Center for Environmental Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Atlanta, GA

NBAS Task Force on Integrating Clinical and Public Health Reporting

Farzad Mostashari, MD, MSc (Champion)
Assistant Commissioner
Primary Care Information Project
Health Care Access & Improvement
New York City Department of Health & Mental
Hygiene
New York, NY

Cecil Lynch, MD, MS
Assistant Professor
Department of Pathology
University of California at Davis
Granite Bay, CA

Gregory Istre, MD
Research Director/Epidemiologist
Injury Prevention Center of Greater Dallas
Dallas, TX

Chris Ross, MBA
Chief Information Officer
MinuteClinic
Minneapolis, MN

NBAS Task Force on Genomic Epidemiology and Digital Technologies

W. Ian Lipkin, MD (Champion)
Director and John Snow Professor of
Epidemiology
Center for Infection and Immunity
Mailman School of Public Health
Columbia University
New York, NY

Ron Brookmeyer, PhD
Professor of Biostatistics
The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public
Health
Baltimore, MD

James Allen Heywood
Chairman, Co-Founder
PatientsLikeMe
Cambridge, MA

John Russell, JD
Partner
K & L Gates
Morrisville, NC

Kenneth D. Mandl, MD, MPH
Associate Professor, Harvard Medical School
Director, Intelligent Health Laboratory
Children's Hospital Informatics Program
Children's Hospital Boston
Boston, MA

NBAS Task Force on Global Disease Detection and Collaboration

Margaret A. Hamburg, M.D. (Champion)
Vice President of Biological Programs
Nuclear Threat Initiative
Washington DC

David Heymann, MD (Champion)
Assistant Director-General
Health Security and Environment, Representative of
the Director-General for Polio Eradication
World Health Organization
Geneva
Switzerland

Rajeev Venkayya, MD
Director of Global Health Delivery
The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
Seattle, WA

Dennis Coulombier, MD
Head of Unit for Preparedness and Response
European Centre for Disease Prevention and
Control
Stockholm, Sweden

Jonathan Lord, MD
Senior Vice President and Chief Innovation
Officer
Humana Inc.
Louisville, KY